

January 27, 2022

Dr. Jose Fierro
Superintendent/President
Cerritos College
11110 Alondra Blvd
Norwalk, CA 90650

Dear Dr. Fierro:

The Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, Western Association of Schools and Colleges, at its meeting January 12-14, 2022, reviewed the Follow-Up Report and related evidentiary materials submitted by Cerritos College. The Commission also considered the Peer Review Team Follow-Up Report prepared by the follow-up team that visited the institution on October 25, 2021. The purpose of this review was to determine whether the College has addressed the deficiencies identified by the peer review team during the spring 2020 comprehensive visit and demonstrated compliance with the Standards cited in the Commission's June 29, 2020, Action Letter.

Upon consideration of the information noted above, the Commission acted to **Reaffirm Accreditation for the remainder of the cycle**. The Commission finds that Cerritos College has addressed the compliance requirements, corrected deficiencies, and meets Standards I.B.2, I.B.5, I.B.6, and II.A.3. The Commission requires the College to disseminate the Follow-Up Report, the Team Report, and this letter within the institution, including by posting them on the College's website. A final copy of the Peer Review Team Report is attached.

The next report from the College will be the Midterm Report¹ due on March 15, 2024. The institution's next comprehensive review will begin with Team ISER Review in the fall term of 2026 and conclude with a Focused Site Visit in the spring term of 2027.

¹ Institutions preparing and submitting Midterm Reports, Follow-up Reports, Special Reports, or Teach-out plans/agreements to the Commission should review *Guidelines for Preparing Institutional Reports to the Commission*, found on the ACCJC website at <https://accjc.org/guides-and-manuals/>.

On behalf of the Commission, we wish to express appreciation for the diligent work and thoughtful reflection that Cerritos College undertook to respond to these requirements. These efforts confirm that peer review can serve well the multiple constituencies of higher education by both ensuring and encouraging institutional quality and effectiveness.

If you have any questions about this letter or the Commission's action, please feel free to contact Dr. Cindy Miles or the vice president assigned as liaison to your institution.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Cindy Miles".

Cindy Miles, Ph.D.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Sonya Christian".

Sonya Christian, Ed.D.

cc: Mr. Rick Miranda, Accreditation Liaison Officer

June 29, 2020

Dr. Jose Fierro, President/Superintendent
Cerritos College
11110 Alondra Blvd.
Norwalk, CA 90650

Dear Dr. Fierro:

The Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, Western Association of Schools and Colleges, at its meeting June 10-12, 2020, reviewed the Institutional Self Evaluation Report (ISER) and evidentiary materials submitted by Cerritos College. The Commission also considered the Peer Review Team Report (Team Report) prepared by the peer review team that conducted its onsite visit to the College February 24 - 27, 2020. The Commission considered the written response to the Team Report that you submitted prior to the Commission meeting, your spoken testimony, and that of Dr. Frank Mixson, Dean of Liberal Arts. The Commission found these additional perspectives to be helpful.

The purpose of this review was to determine whether the College continues to meet ACCJC's Eligibility Requirements, Commission Policies, and Accreditation Standards (hereinafter, the Standards). Upon consideration of the written and oral information noted above, the Commission acted to **Reaffirm Accreditation for 18 months and require a Follow-Up Report, due no later than October 1, 2021, followed by a visit from a peer review team.**

Commendations

The Commission recognizes the exemplary performance of Cerritos College in the following areas. Commendations signify practices for which the Commission believes the institution has exceeded standards.

Standard IV.A.1 (Commendation 1): The Commission commends the college for creating and encouraging innovation leading to institutional excellence by engaging the college and its external communities in developing and sustaining the innovation fund program.

Compliance Requirements

The Commission also determined that the College must demonstrate compliance with the following Standards, as identified in the College requirements below. This demonstration must be addressed in the required Follow-Up Report.

Standard I.B.5, I.B.6 (College Requirement 1): In order to meet the Standards, the Commission requires that the college assess the accomplishment of its mission by using

student learning outcome and program review data. It is recommended that the college disaggregate and analyze these data and evaluate the efficacy of strategies to mitigate gaps.

Standard II.A.3, I.B.2 (College Requirement 2): In order to meet the Standards, the Commission requires that the college identify and regularly assess learning outcomes for courses, programs, certificates, and degrees. In every class section, the course syllabus must include learning outcomes from the officially approved course outline of record.

In accordance with federal regulations, compliance requirements must be addressed and the institution must demonstrate that it aligns with Standards within two years¹.

Recommendations for Improving Institutional Effectiveness

The Team Report noted Recommendations 3 and 4 for improving institutional effectiveness. These recommendations do not identify current areas of deficiency in institutional practice, but consistent with its mission to foster continuous improvement through the peer review process, the Commission encourages institutions to give serious consideration to the advice contained in the peer reviewers' recommendations. The Commission anticipates that you will bring them and the team's full report to the attention of your institution for serious consideration. In the Midterm Report, the College will include actions taken in response to the peer review team's improvement recommendations.

Next Steps

The Team Report provides details of the peer review team's findings. The guidance and recommendations contained in the Report represent the best advice of the peer review team at the time of the visit but may not describe all that is necessary for the college to improve or to come into compliance. A final copy of the Team Report is attached.

The Commission requires that you disseminate the ISER, the Team Report, and this letter to those who were signatories of the ISER and that you make these documents available to all campus constituencies and the public by placing copies on the College website. Please note that in response to public interest in accreditation, the Commission requires institutions to post current accreditation information on a Web page no more than one click from the institution's home page. In keeping with ACCJC policy, the Commission action will also be posted on the ACCJC website within 30 days of the date of the Commission's action.

On behalf of the Commission, we wish to express appreciation for the diligent work and thoughtful reflection that Cerritos College undertook to prepare for this evaluation. These efforts confirm that peer review can well serve the multiple constituencies of higher education by both ensuring and encouraging institutional quality and effectiveness.

¹ For more information, refer to the Commission policy on "The Two-Year Rule and Extension for Good Cause" on the ACCJC website at <https://accjc.org/eligibility-requirements-standards-policies/>.

If you have any questions about this letter or the Commission's action, please feel free to contact Dr. Stephanie Droker or the vice president assigned as liaison to your institution.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Stephanie Droker in black ink.

Stephanie Droker, Ed.D.
ACCJC President

Handwritten signature of Ian Walton in black ink.

Ian Walton, Ph.D.
ACCJC Chair

cc: Mr. Rick Miranda, Accreditation Liaison Officer

Enclosure