

January 16, 2024

Ms. Denise Whisenhunt President Grossmont College 8800 Grossmont College Drive El Cajon, CA 92020

Dear Ms. Whisenhunt:

The Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, at its meeting January 10 - 11, 2024, reviewed the Midterm Report and related evidentiary materials submitted by Grossmont College. The purpose of the review was to determine the degree to which the institution has made progress in implementing its plans and improving outcomes related to student achievement. After consideration of the Report, the Commission has determined that the institution's progress is appropriate and has accepted the Report. The Commission requires that you disseminate the Midterm Report and this letter to all campus constituencies and the public by placing copies on the College website within seven business days of your receipt.

On behalf of the Commission, we wish to acknowledge the College's commitment to improvement as it continues its efforts in the best interests of its students. We look forward to working with the College as it prepares for its next comprehensive review, which will begin with Team ISER Review in the spring term of 2026 and conclude with a Focused Site Visit in the fall term of 2026.

Sincerely,

Mac Powell, MBA, Ph.D. President

Lori Gaskin, Ph.D. Chair

Cc: Dr. Lynn C. Neault, Chancellor, Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District Dr. Joan Ahrens, Accreditation Liaison Officer



ACCREDITING COMMISSION FOR COMMUNITY AND JUNIOR COLLEGES

WESTERN ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES

June 14, 2022

Ms. Denise Whisenhunt President Grossmont College 8800 Grossmont College Drive El Cajon, CA 92020

Dear Ms. Whisenhunt:

The Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, Western Association of Schools and Colleges, at its meeting June 1-3, 2022, reviewed the Follow-Up Report and related evidentiary materials submitted by Grossmont College. The Commission also considered the Peer Review Team Follow-Up Report prepared by the follow-up team that visited the institution on March 23, 2022. The purpose of this review was to determine whether the College has addressed the deficiencies identified by the peer review team during the fall 2019 comprehensive visit and demonstrated compliance with the Standards cited in the Commission's Action Letter of June 28, 2021.

Upon consideration of the information noted above, the Commission acted to **Reaffirm Accreditation for the remainder of the cycle**. The Commission finds that Grossmont College has addressed the compliance requirements, corrected deficiencies, and meets Standards I.B.2, II.A.3, and II.A.16. The Commission requires the College to disseminate the Follow-Up Report, the Team Report, and this letter within the institution, including by posting them on the College's website. A final copy of the Peer Review Team Report is attached.

The next report from the College will be the Midterm Report¹ due on October 15, 2023. The institution's next comprehensive review will begin with Team ISER Review in the spring term of 2026 and conclude with a Focused Site Visit in the fall term of 2026.

¹ Institutions preparing and submitting Midterm Reports, Follow-up Reports, Special Reports, or Teach-out plans/agreements to the Commission should review *Guidelines for Preparing Institutional Reports to the Commission*, found on the ACCJC website at <u>https://accjc.org/guides-and-manuals/</u>.

On behalf of the Commission, we wish to express appreciation for the diligent work and thoughtful reflection that Grossmont College undertook to respond to these requirements. These efforts confirm that peer review can serve the multiple constituencies of higher education by both ensuring and encouraging institutional quality and effectiveness.

The Commission requires the College to disseminate the Follow-Up Report, the Team Report, and this letter within the institution, including posting them on the College's website.

If you have any questions about this letter or the Commission's action, please feel free to contact Dr. Cindy Miles or the vice president assigned as liaison to your institution.

Sincerely,

Cindy Miles

Cindy Miles, Ph.D.

Bonya Christian

Sonya Christian, Ed.D.

cc: Dr. Lynn C. Neault, Chancellor, Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District Dr. Joan Ahrens, Accreditation Liaison Officer



ACCREDITING COMMISSION FOR COMMUNITY AND JUNIOR COLLEGES

WESTERN ASSOCIATION OF SCHOOLS AND COLLEGES

June 28, 2021

Dr. Marsha Gable Interim President Grossmont College 8800 Grossmont College Drive El Cajon, CA 92020

Dear Dr. Gable:

The Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, Western Association of Schools and Colleges, at its meeting June 9-11, 2021, reviewed the Follow-Up Report and related evidentiary materials submitted by Grossmont College. The Commission also considered the Peer Review Team Follow-Up Report prepared by the follow-up team that visited the institution on April 8-9, 2021. The purpose of this review was to determine whether the College has addressed the deficiencies identified by the peer review team during the fall 2019 comprehensive visit and demonstrated compliance with the Standards cited in the Commission's January 27, 2020 Action Letter.

Upon consideration of the information noted above, the Commission finds that Grossmont College has addressed District Compliance Requirement 1, College Compliance Requirements 1 and 3, corrected deficiencies, and meets Standards II.A.3, III.A.5, and the *Policy on Distance Education and Correspondence Education*. The Commission further finds continued noncompliance with Standards I.B.2, II.A.3, and II.A.16 (College Compliance Requirement 2), and acted to **Defer Action**. The College is required **submit a second Follow-Up Report by March 1, 2022**, addressing the remaining deficiencies noted in Compliance Requirement 2, which will be followed by a visit from a peer review team. The accredited status of the institution continues during the deferred action period.

In accordance with federal regulations and the *Policy on Commission Actions on Institutions*, compliance requirements must be addressed and the institution must demonstrate that it aligns with Standards within three years of first receiving notification of noncompliance, by January

2023. If the institution cannot document that it has come into compliance within the designated period, the Commission will take adverse action.

The Commission looks forward to receiving the College's second Follow-up Report demonstrating that it has addressed the deficiencies, and supports your institution's efforts to come into compliance.

The Commission requires the College to disseminate this Follow-Up Report, Peer Review Team Report and this letter within the institution, including by posting them on the College's website.

If you have any questions about this letter or the Commission's action, please feel free to contact Dr. Stephanie Droker or the vice president that has been assigned as liaison to your institution.

Sincerely,

Hypanie Broker

Stephanie Droker, Ed.D.

Bonya Christian

Sonya Christian, Ed.D.

cc: Dr. Joan Ahrens, Accreditation Liaison Officer Dr. Lynn C. Neault, Chancellor, Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District

Attachment



January 27, 2020

Dr. Nabil Abu-Ghazaleh, President Grossmont College 8800 Grossmont College Drive El Cajon, CA 92020

Dear Dr. Abu-Ghazaleh:

The Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, Western Association of Schools and Colleges, at its meeting January 15-17, 2020, reviewed the Institutional Self Evaluation Report (ISER) and evidentiary materials submitted by Grossmont College. The Commission also considered the Peer Review Team Report (Team Report) prepared by the peer review team that conducted its onsite visit to the College September 30 to October 3, 2019.

The purpose of this review was to determine whether the College continues to meet ACCJC's Eligibility Requirements, Commission Policies, and Accreditation Standards (hereinafter, the Standards). Upon consideration of the written information noted above, the Commission acted to **Reaffirm Accreditation for 18 months and Require a Follow-Up Report,** due no later than March 1, 2021, followed by a visit from a peer review team.

Commendations

The Commission recognizes the exemplary performance of Grossmont College in the following areas. Commendations signify practices for which the Commission believes the insitution has exceeded standards.

Commendation 1: The Commission commends the College for its equity-focused mission statement, and for ensuring that college programs, services, and practices are aligned with the college mission. The College's deep commitment to equity is manifested in their efforts to infuse equity in everything they do, and a part of everyone's responsibility. The College's mission guides institutional planning, decision-making, and resource allocation as well as informs institutional goals for student learning and achievement (I.A.3).

Compliance Requirements

The Commission also determined that the College must demonstrate compliance with the following Standards, as identified in the College and District recommendations. This demonstration must be addressed in the required Follow-Up Report.

Standard III.A.5 (District Requirement 1): In order to meet the Standard, the Commission requires that the District ensure that all classified and management employees are systematically evaluated at stated intervals.

Grossmont College, page 2

Policy on Distance Education and Correspondence Education (College Requirement 1): In order to meet the policy, the Commission requires the College ensure that all distance education courses demonstrate regular and substantive interaction as defined by the College.

Standards I.B.2, II.A.3, II.A.16 (College Requirement 2): In order to meet the standards, the Commission requires that the college fully implement the assessment, collection, and use of student learning outcomes for all courses, programs, and units.

Standards II.A.3 (College Requirement 3): In order to meet the standards, the Commission requires that the College ensure that, in every class section, students receive a syllabus that includes SLOs consistent with the officially approved course outline of record.

In accordance with federal regulations, compliance requirements must be addressed and the institution must demonstrate that it aligns with Standards within two years¹.

Modifications to Recommendations

In taking its action, the Commission modified the team's recommendation(s) as follows:

College Recommendations 2 and 3 are changed from improvement recommendations to compliance requirements. The Commission also determined that the wording of the recommendation 2 should be revised as follows:

Original Recommendation 2 (Improvement): In order to improve institutional effectiveness, the team recommends that the college standardize and strengthen the assessment, collection, and use of student learning outcomes for courses, programs, and units (I.B.2, II.A.3, II.A.16).

Revised Requirement 2 (Compliance): In order to meet the standards, the Commission requires that the College fully implement the assessment, collection, and use of student learning outcomes for all courses, programs, and units (I.B.2, II.A.3, II.A.16).

Recommendations for Improving Institutional Effectiveness

The Team Report noted Recommendation 4 for improving institutional effectiveness. This recommendation does not identify current areas of deficiency in institutional practice, but consistent with its mission to foster continuous improvement through the peer review process, the Commission encourages institutions to give serious consideration to the advice contained in the peer reviewers' recommendations. The Commission anticipates that you will bring them and the team's full report to the attention of your institution for serious consideration. In the Midterm Report, the College will include actions taken in response to the peer review team's improvement recommendations.

¹ For more information, refer to the Commission policy on "The Two-Year Rule and Extension for Good Cause" on the ACCJC website at <u>https://accjc.org/eligibility-requirements-standards-policies/</u>.

Grossmont College, page 3

Next Steps

The Team Report provides details of the peer review team's findings. The guidance and recommendations contained in the Report represent the best advice of the peer review team at the time of the visit but may not describe all that is necessary for the college to improve or to come into compliance. A final copy of the Team Report is attached.

The Commission requires that you disseminate the ISER, the Team Report, and this letter to those who were signatories of the ISER and that you make these documents available to all campus constituencies and the public by placing copies on the College website. Please note that in response to public interest in accreditation, the Commission requires institutions to post current accreditation information on a Web page no more than one click from the institution's home page. In keeping with ACCJC policy, the Commission action will also be posted on the ACCJC website within 30 days of the date of the Commission's action.

On behalf of the Commission, I wish to express appreciation for the diligent work and thoughtful reflection that Grossmont College undertook to prepare for this evaluation. These efforts confirm that peer review can well serve the multiple constituencies of higher education by both ensuring and encouraging institutional quality and effectiveness.

If you have any questions about this letter or the Commission's action, please feel free to contact me or the vice president that has been assigned as liaison to your institution.

Sincerely choudllin

Richard Winn, Ed.D. President

RW/tl

cc: Dr. Lynn Neault, Chancellor, Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District Dr. Catherine Webb, Accreditation Liaison Officer

Attachment