

January 26, 2023

Dr. Tawny Dotson
President
Yuba College
2088 North Beale Road
Marysville, CA 95901

Dear Dr. Dotson:

The Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, Western Association of Schools and Colleges, at its meeting January 11-12, 2023, reviewed the Midterm Report and related evidentiary materials submitted by Yuba College. The purpose of the review was to determine the degree to which the institution has made progress in implementing its plans and improving outcomes related to student achievement. After consideration of the Report, the Commission has determined that the institution's progress is appropriate and has accepted the Report. The Commission requires that you disseminate the Midterm Report and this letter to all campus constituencies and the public by placing copies on the College website within seven business days of your receipt.

On behalf of the Commission, we wish to acknowledge the College's commitment to improvement as it continues its efforts in the best interests of its students. We look forward to working with the College as it prepares for its next comprehensive review, which will begin with Team ISER Review in the spring term of 2025 and conclude with a Focused Site Visit in the fall term of 2025.

Sincerely,



Mac Powell, MBA, Ph.D.
President



Lori Gaskin, Ph.D.
Chair

cc: Dr. James Houpis, Interim Chancellor, Yuba Community College District
Mr. Jeremy Brown, Accreditation Liaison Officer

June 29, 2020

Dr. Judy Walters, Interim President
Yuba College
2088 N Beale Rd
Marysville, CA 95901

Dear Dr. Walters:

The Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, Western Association of Schools and Colleges, at its meeting June 10-12, 2020, reviewed the Follow-Up Report and related evidentiary materials submitted by Yuba College. The purpose of this review was to determine whether the College has appropriately responded to the issues as identified by the peer review team at the time of the last visit and as expressed in the Commission's Action Letter of January 25, 2019 and whether the College has demonstrated compliance with the related Standards.

Upon consideration of the information noted above, the Commission acted to **Reaffirm Accreditation for the remainder of the cycle**. The Commission finds that Yuba College has addressed the compliance requirements, corrected deficiencies, and meets Standard III.A.5. The next report from the College will be the Midterm Report¹ due on October 17, 2022. The institution's next comprehensive review will occur in the fall term of 2025.

The Commission requires the College to disseminate the Follow-Up Report and this letter within the institution, including by posting them on the College's website.

On behalf of the Commission, we wish to express appreciation for the diligent work and thoughtful reflection that Yuba College undertook to respond to these requirements. These efforts confirm that peer review can well serve the multiple constituencies of higher education by both ensuring and encouraging institutional quality and effectiveness.

¹ Institutions preparing and submitting Midterm Reports, Follow-up Reports, and Special Reports to the Commission should review *Guidelines for the Preparing Institutional Reports to the Commission*, found on the ACCJC website at <https://accjc.org/publications/>.

If you have any questions about this letter or the Commission's action, please feel free to contact Dr. Stephanie Droker or the vice president assigned as liaison to your institution.

Sincerely,

Handwritten signature of Stephanie Droker in black ink.

Stephanie Droker, Ed.D.
ACCJC President

Handwritten signature of Ian Walton in black ink.

Ian Walton, Ph.D.
ACCJC Chair

cc: Dr. Douglas B. Houston, Chancellor, Yuba Community College District
Mr. Jeremy Brown, Accreditation Liaison Officer

January 25, 2019

Dr. G.H. Javaheripour, President
Yuba College
2088 North Beale Road
Marysville, CA 95901

Dear President Javaheripour:

The Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges, Western Association of Schools and Colleges, at its meeting January 9-11, 2019, reviewed the Institutional Self Evaluation Report (ISER) and evidentiary materials submitted by Yuba College. The Commission also considered the External Evaluation Team Report (Team Report) prepared by the peer review team that conducted its onsite visit to the College October 8 - 11, 2018. The Commission considered the written response to the evaluation team report that you submitted prior to the Commission meeting, your spoken testimony and that of Chancellor Douglas Houston, President Michael White, and Chief Human Resources Officer Donald Grady. The Commission found these additional perspectives to be helpful.

The purpose of this review was to determine whether the College continues to meet ACCJC's Eligibility Requirements, Commission Policies, and Accreditation Standards (hereinafter, the Standards). Upon consideration of the written and oral information noted above, the Commission acted to **Reaffirm Accreditation for seven years and require a Follow-Up Report, due no later than March 2, 2020.**

Commendations

The Commission recognizes the exemplary performance of Yuba College in the following areas. Commendations signify practices for which the Commission believes the institution has exceeded standards.

Commendation 1: The team commends the College for creating and encouraging innovation leading to institutional excellence. College leaders support administrators, faculty, staff, and students, no matter what their official titles, in taking initiative for improving the practices, programs, and services in which they are involved. When ideas for improvement have policy or significant institution-wide implications, systematic participation processes are used to assure effective planning and implementation. (IV.A.1)

Commendation 2: The team commends the CEO and the College Community for their innovative efforts with communities served by the institution by developing partnerships that support the ongoing needs of students. The CEO communicates effectively with the internal and external communities served by the institution. This has led to a productive and collegial working environment. (IV.B.6)

Compliance Requirements

The Commission also determined that the College must demonstrate compliance with the following Standards, as identified in the District recommendations. This demonstration must be addressed in the required Follow-Up Report.

Standard III.A.5 (District Recommendation 1): In order to meet the standard, the District should follow its evaluation policies and procedures to consistently evaluate all personnel systematically and at stated intervals. Actions following evaluations should be formal, timely, and documented.

In accordance with federal regulations, compliance requirements must be addressed and the institution must demonstrate that it aligns with Standards within two years¹.

Recommendations for Improving Institutional Effectiveness

The Team Report noted District Recommendation 2 and College Recommendation 1 for improving institutional effectiveness. These recommendations do not identify current areas of deficiency in institutional practice, but consistent with its mission to foster continuous improvement through the peer review process, the Commission encourages institutions to give serious consideration to the advice contained in the peer reviewers' recommendations. The Commission anticipates that you will bring them and the team's full report to the attention of your institution for serious consideration. In the Midterm Report, the College will include actions taken in response to the peer review team's improvement recommendations.

Next Steps

The Team Report provides details of the peer review team's findings. The guidance and recommendations contained in the Report represent the best advice of the peer review team at the time of the visit but may not describe all that is necessary for the college to improve or to come into compliance. A final copy of the Team Report is attached.

The Commission requires that you disseminate the ISER, the Team Report, and this letter to those who were signatories of the ISER and that you make these documents available to all campus constituencies and the public by placing copies on the College website. Please note that in response to public interest in accreditation, the Commission requires institutions to post current accreditation information on a Web page no more than one click from the institution's home page. In keeping with ACCJC policy, the Commission action will also be posted on the ACCJC website within 30 days of the date of the Commission's action.

On behalf of the Commission, I wish to express appreciation for the diligent work and thoughtful reflection that Yuba College undertook to prepare for this evaluation. These efforts confirm that peer review can well serve the multiple constituencies of higher education by both ensuring and encouraging institutional quality and effectiveness.

¹ For more information, refer to the Commission policy on "The Two-Year Rule and Extension for Good Cause" on the ACCJC website at <https://accjc.org/eligibility-requirements-standards-policies/>.

Yuba College, page 3

If you have any questions about this letter or the Commission's action, please feel free to contact me or the vice president that has been assigned as liaison to your institution.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Richard Winn", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Richard Winn, Ed.D.
President

RW/tl

cc: Dr. Douglas Houston, Chancellor
Mr. Jeremy Brown, Accreditation Liaison Officer